Viewpoints on structure description of Chinese character
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Many Chinese characters (漢字) are complex characters composed of multiple components. So we can describe their structures: e.g.

林 = 木木  雲 = 雨云  広 = 广么

But in some cases, there are ambiguity to analyze their structures and components: e.g.

- 旗 = 方冡 or 放其
- 嬴 = 呂月女丸 or 攔女
Who am I?

Works:

- CHISE (CHaracter Information Service Environment) http://www.chise.org/
- MeCab-Kanbun (Morpheme Analyzer for classical Chinese; Joint research) https://corpus.kanji.zinbun.kyoto-u.ac.jp/gitlab/Kanbun/mecab-kanbun
- etc.
CHISE IDS database

- https://gitlab.chise.org/CHISE/ids
  - one of the most comprehensive IDS dataset with a large number of characters that supports almost all CJKV Unified Ideographs coded in UCS.

CHISE character ontology

- CHISE IDS database is a part of CHISE character ontology. Each components are defined in the ontology.

CHISE IDS Find

- http://www.chise.org/ids-find
  - a Web service for searching Chinese characters that contains specified components. It is also an entrance to the CHISE character ontology.
Structural description requirements

There are a lot of Chinese characters, so it is not easy to maintain data quality.

- Versatility: Write once, use anywhere
- Consistency
- Coverage of components: describe all Chinese characters with as few components as possible
- Intelligibility (especially for native users and classical Chinese scholars)

→ We need models
Components are a visible objects

- 林 = 木木
- 雲 = 雨云

Then, if 贏 = 亡口卍月女匸, is 卍月女匸 a component?
Component is an interface to associate phonetic and/or semantic values and shapes

→ In this view, 日月女卂 is not a component

If you do not know the target character, you will not know the functional components (maybe it is the goal)
Component is a unit to describe glyph variations of Chinese characters. cf. unification rules

- 「習」「習」「習」: 「羽」「羽」「羽」

*If an abstract component* 〈羽〉 = { 羽, 羽, 羽 } *is defined, it is possible to describe abstract character* 〈習〉 = 囗 〈羽〉 白
Description based on productivity

Components are objects that combine them to create Chinese characters

→ Components that can produce many Chinese characters have high “componentness”.

→ If a component is included in only one Chinese character, it is meaningless to regard it as a component (inappropriate decomposition?)

• Mechanical analysis is possible using the CHISE IDS database
In case 贏

- 贏: 「贏」「贏」「贏 (贏, 贏, 贏)」「贏」「贏」
- 月女刊: 「贏」「贏」「瀛 (瀛)」「鬻」「鬻」
In case 族

- 旄: 旄, 施, 施, 施, 旄, 旄, 施, 施, 施, 施, 施, 施, 施, 施, 施, 施, 施, 施, 施, 施, 施, 施, 施

- 卯: 族, 模, 鉴
Occurrence of components

This distribution seems to follow the Zipf’s law
Equivalence

In many cases, descriptions based on apparent structure and descriptions based on functional structure have equivalent information. We can write rewriting rules: e.g.

- □□ ABC → □ A □ BC
  (旗：□圹 其 → □方冥)

- □□ ABC → □□ ABC
  (顔：□須女 → □□弍女頁)

Term Rewriting Systems (TRS) can also normalize glyph variants with unification rules.
Ambiguity of apparent structure

虚：□虏业 → □户□七业 → 日卜□厂□七业
Apparent component is also depended on knowledge.
Conclusion

- Structural description of Chinese character should be based on Chinese character analysis (Chinese character studies), like grammatical analysis of natural language.
- It depends on knowledge, but statistical analysis for CHISE-IDS database helps discover this knowledge.
  - productivity of components
- Grapholinguistic model and algebraic model (such as Term Rewriting System) are the two wheels to describe structure of Chinese characters.